

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/887,747	06/21/2001	Mihaela Van Der Schaar	US 000168	7494	
24737	7590 04/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROPE	LEE, RICHARD J			
P.O. BOX 300	01 F MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DRIARCEIT	WARON, 111 10310		2621		

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
Office Action Summary		Application No.				
		09/887,747		AL.		
	omee neadin cummary	Examiner Bishard Log	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Richard Lee				
Period	for Reply	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, oo,, oopoaaoo			
WH - Ex aft - If I - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communicated the communication of the communication			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 I	February 2006.				
		is action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispos	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-19,21-31,33-43 and 45</u> is/are	e pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)[_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-19,21-31,33-43 and 45</u> is/are	e rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)∟	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	?. .		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	eceived in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		accived			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies flot it	scerveu.			
Attachme	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08'		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2613

1. The request filed on February 6, 2006 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 12-19, 21, 22, 26-31, 33-36, 40-43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li (6,275,531).

Li discloses a scalable video coding method and apparatus as shown in Figure 1, and the same method, system, apparatus, and device as claimed in claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 12-19, 21, 22, 26-31, 33-36, 40-43, and 45 for reformatting frames of a video data stream for improving the transmission efficiency of an original video signal transmitted as a plurality of frames, the frames containing the video signal encoded in a base layer and an enhancement layer wherein at least one element of the enhancement layer is selectively enhanced by designating the at least one selected element to have a higher priority of transmission (i.e., higher priority is given to enhancement bitstream layer 1 than enhancement bitstream layer 2, see column 5, lines 41-56), comprising the same means for transmitting a first set of criteria (i.e., a priority identifier is supplied to each of the enhancement bitstream layers, see column 5, lines 41-56) for one of the frames; means for transmitting an indicator (i.e., priority identifiers and logic control the transmission of the bitstreams, see column 5, line 41 to column 6, line 7) that causes the first set of criteria to be used for a subsequent one of the frames if a second set of criteria for the

Art Unit: 2613

subsequent one of the frames is substantially the same as the first set of criteria (i.e., each enhancement layer comprises a plurality of enhancement layer bitstreams, wherein enhancement layer bitstream 1 will always being give higher priority than enhancement layer bitstream 2, and the priority of enhancement layer bitstream 1 (first set of criteria) of an enhancement layer, for example, will be the same as the priority of enhancement layer bitstream 1 of every succeeding enhancement layer (second set of criteria), see column 5, lines 41-56); wherein the first set of criteria includes at least one enhancement factor value, wherein the at least one enhancement value is applied and corresponds to each element within in the enhancement layer, the at least one enhancement factor value is power of two (i.e., if the base layer quantized DCT coefficient is non-zero, i.e. 2, the corresponding enhancement layer difference will have the same sign as the base layer quantized DCT, the sign indication being one enhancement factor value as claimed to identify the sign bit, see column 9, lines 1-47); the first set of criteria includes position, size and enhancement factor value, wherein the position is selected with respect to a known point (i.e., the position and size of blocks/macroblocks, priority identifiers indicating higher priority thereby enhancing the image, and the sign indication provided for DCT difference is considered an enhancement factor value, see column 1, lines 50-59, column 5, lines 41-56, column 9, lines 1-47); wherein the at least one element comprises a plurality of pixels in an array having an equal number of rows and columns, wherein the number of rows is selected from the group consisting of 2, 3, 4, 8, 16 (see column 1, lines 50-59); wherein the enhancement layer is fine granular scalability encoded (see column 3, lines 6-27); means for receiving the first set of criteria for the one of the frames and the indicator and means for applying the first set of criteria to the

Application/Control Number: 09/887,747

Art Unit: 2613

subsequent one of the frames (i.e., as provided by 70, 80, 90 of Figure 1, see column 5, line 41 to column 6, line 14).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-11, 23-25, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 12-19, 21, 22, 26-31, 33-36, 40-43, and 45 in the above paragraph (3), and further in view of Hsieh et al of record (5,995,150).

Li discloses substantially the same method, system, apparatus, and device as above, but does not particularly disclose, though, wherein the first set of criteria includes at least a second indicator that indicates a corresponding known value, the known value is selected from the group consisting of position, displacement vector, size, and enhancement factor, and wherein the indicator is substantially the same as the at least a second indicator as claimed in claims 9-11, 23-25, and 37-39. However, Hsieh et al discloses a dual compressed video bitstream camera, and teaches the conventional use of additional indicators or flags that may be provided as part of the picture, sequence, and macroblock headers and that may be inserted into the enhancement layer bitstreams (see column 14, lines 43-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Li and Hsieh et al references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of the use of indicators and flags within base and enhancement layer bitstreams, would have had no difficulty in view of the teachings of the additional indicators and flags for enhancement layer bitstreams to provide the first set of criteria of Li with at least a

Art Unit: 2613

second indicator that indicates a corresponding known value, the known value is selected from the group consisting of position, displacement vector, size, and enhancement factor, and wherein the indicator is substantially the same as the at least a second indicator for the same well known identification of additional information in the compressed video stream purposes as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7333. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, with alternate Fridays off.

Richard Lee/rl

3/3/06